

Response for Deadline 4 concerning the use of the Hoverport part 1

Planning Inspectorate ref: EN020026

My ID: [REDACTED]

Introduction

During ISH 2 reference was made to my submission REP3A-065 and it was suggested by the Applicant that *“a number of the photos in there are around the Manston Airport outfall on the apron, which is not an area that we would be traversing”*. To clarify the situation, I will include further photographs to show the extent of damage across the whole area shown within the order limits.

Photographic Evidence



The diagram to the left shows the access routes proposed by the Applicant with the position of the outfall and where the 13 photographs shown below were taken.



01



02



03



04



05



06



07



08



09



10



11



12



13

The photographs and their location clearly show that the deterioration is widespread over the area indicated by the Applicant as possible routes to the intertidal area. I reiterate my point previously made that 40 movements a day of very heavy machinery even with matting are likely to break up the surface increasing the risk of heavy metals, including Arsenic and Lead, leeching into the SSSI.

David Stevens.